

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/735,979 12/15/2003 | | Hak-Soo Kim | 8836-220 (IB12231-US) | 4240 | |
| 22150 | 7590 05/23/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC | | | TRAN, CONGVAN | | |
| 130 WOODBURY ROAD WOODBURY, NY 11797 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2617 | , | |

DATE MAILED: 05/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary | | Applicati | Application No. Applica | | cant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--------------|--|--|--|
| | | 10/735,9 | 79 | KIM, HAK-SOO | | | | |
| | | Examine | • | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | CongVan | | 2617 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply | ion appears on the | ecover sheet with ti | he correspondence ad | idress | | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communice or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I treply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ING DATE OF The CFR 1.136(a). In no evalution. The period will apply and we by statute, cause the apply and we have apply and apply apply and apply apply apply and apply a | HIS COMMUNICAT ent, however, may a reply b ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS dication to become ABAND | TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this of ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | · | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed or | n <i>1/05/06 & 4/03/</i> | 06 | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | • | | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| ٧,۵ | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | - y , | , | | | | |
| · | | ication | | | | | | |
| 4)[| Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-15</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5)□ | □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| · · | <u> </u> | | | | | | | |
| 7) | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-13, 16</u> is/are rejected. ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction | and/or election r | oquiromont | | | | | |
| ت (۵ | are subject to restriction | rand/or election i | equirement. | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)□ | The specification is objected to by the Ex | kaminer. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[| oxtimes accepted or b) | objected to by t | he Examiner. | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the | correction is requir | ed if the drawing(s) is | s objected to. See 37 C | FR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by | the Examiner. No | ote the attached Of | fice Action or form P | TO-152. | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | foreign priority un | der 35 U.S.C. § 11 | 9(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority doc | uments have bee | n received. | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority doc | uments have bee | n received in Appli | cation No | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the | ne priority docum | ents have been rec | eived in this National | Stage | | | |
| | application from the International | Bureau (PCT Rul | e 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * 5 | See the attached detailed Office action fo | r a list of the certi | fied copies not rece | eived. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) 🛛 Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) Interview Sumn | nary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notic | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 | | Paper No(s)/Ma | ail Date | 0.450) | | | |
| - | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date | /SB/08) | 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other: | nal Patent Application (PT | O-152) | | | |
| т фот то(эртиан ваке | | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/735,979 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to amendment filed on Jan. 05, 2006 and Apr.
 2006.

- 2. Claims 1-13 and 16 have been amended.
- 3. Claims 14-15 have been canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 9, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Akhterruzzaman (2004/0203929).

Regarding claim 1, 9, and 16, Akhterruzzaman discloses an enhanced caller identification comprising: a first wireless mobile station (see fig.1, element 1 and its description); and a second wireless station coupled with the first wireless mobile station through a wireless communication network (see fig.1, elements 1, 2, 3-6 and its description), wherein the second wireless mobile station transmits location information to the wireless first mobile station in response to a request of the first wireless mobile station (see fig.2, steps 201, 203, 205 and its description).

Regarding claims 2, 10, Akhterruzzaman further discloses the second wireless mobile station comprises a receiver for receiving a satellite signal from a satellite (see fig.1, element 11, and its description).

Regarding claim 3, Akhterruzzaman further discloses wherein the second wireless mobile station analyzes the satellite signal in response to the request of the wireless mobile station, determines the location information and provides the determined location information to the wireless mobile station (see fig.1, element 11, fig.2, step 205 and its description).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 4-8, 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akhterruzzaman (2004/0203929) in view of Van Diggelen et al. (2004/0203915) and Gwon et al. (2004/0203904)/Strong (2003/0007473).

Regarding claims 5-8, 11-12, Akhterruzzaman discloses all the subject matters described in rejected claims above, except for explicitly disclose the second wireless mobile station comprises a memory for storing the location information. However, Van Diggelen a mobile station comprises a memory for storing the location information (see fig.2, elements 230, 234 and its description). Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the Van

Art Unit: 2617

Diggelen's memory in Akhterruzzaman's invention in order to store location information of the wireless device for improving the use of mobile systems.

Regarding claims 4 and 13, the Examiner takes Official notice that the signal transmitted and received between the wireless base station and the wireless mobile station satisfies IEEE 802.11 specification is notoriously well known in the art in order to establish and manage communications between wireless base station and the wireless mobile station also described in Gwon's reference (2004/0203904) (see abstract) or Strong's reference (2003/0007473) (see paragraph [0086]).

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CongVan Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-7871. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Harold-Bank can be reached on 571-272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

CongVan Tran
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2617

May 20, 2006.